

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE TENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

-
WRIT PETITION No.21851 of 2006

Between:

Dr.K.Praveen Kumar

..... PETITIONER

AND

1.The N.T.R.University of Health Sciences,
Sidarthanagar, Vijayawada, Krishna District,
Rep. by its Registrar, and 2 others.

.....RESPONDENTS

-

-

ORDER:

This Writ Petition is filed seeking a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to produce the answer scripts belonging to the petitioner with Hall ticket No.0204368 conducted in May and June, 2006 pertaining to M.D. pathology course for verification and consequently direct the respondents to take into account the marks originally awarded by the valuer at the first instance or in the alternative to send the answer scripts for fresh valuation to another valuer declaring the action

of the respondents in not awarding proper marks and in failing the petitioner in M.D.Pathology course examination as illegal, irregular and arbitrary.

Petitioner pursued M.D.Pathology, which is a three-year course, in the third respondent-College. In the end of third year, examination in theory and practicals were conducted. In the third year of M.D.Pathology, there were four papers viz., I.General Pathology, II.Systematic Pathology, III.Clinical Pathology, Cytology and Hematology and IV.Recent advances. It is the case of the petitioner that insofar as the theory papers II and IV are concerned, in the initial valuation, higher marks were granted, but subsequently, the said marks were struck off and lower marks were awarded.

In view of the categorical allegation made by the writ petitioner at the stage of admission, the learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents was asked to produce the answer scripts of the writ petitioner pertaining to theory papers II and IV of third year M.D.Pathology examination, *vide* order dated 03-11-2006. Pursuant to the said directions, the learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents produced answer scripts of theory papers II and IV of the petitioner.

I have perused the answer scripts produced by the learned Counsel for the respondents. There is no such revising of marks awarded by the valuer, as alleged by the petitioner. The allegation made by the writ petitioner is without any basis.

In that view of the matter, I do not find any ground to admit this
Writ Petition for grant of relief as prayed for.

Writ Petition is devoid of merits, and the same is,
accordingly, dismissed. No costs.

10-11-2006

LUR